Symptom Burden and Health-Related Quality of Life in Gastrointestinal Cancers: A Targeted Literature Review	R77
ISPOR Europe 2023 November 12-15, 2023 Copenhagen, Denmark	

INTRODUCTION

O Gastrointestinal (GI) cancers, including colorectal, esophageal, and pancreatic cancers, make up more than 25% of global cancer cases; in 2023, it is projected that there will be approximately 1.96 million new cancer cases diagnosed in the United States

KEY RESULTS: STAGE OF DISEASE HAS A SIGNIFICANT ROLE IN SYMPTOM BURDEN AS REFLECTED BY THE WORSE PHYSICAL HRQOL AND SYMPTOMOLOGY IN ADVANCED GI CANCERS

PATIENTS DIAGNOSED AT ADVANCED GI CANCER STAGES CONSISTENTLY REPORTED WORSE PROS RELATED TO SYMPTOM IMPACT AND HRQOL COMPARED WITH THOSE DIAGNOSED AT EARLIER STAGES

Table 2. PRO instruments and key findings by cancer

Instruments and key findings Cancer type

Colorectal cancer

- O The primary stage for diagnosing colorectal cancer is often the regional stage, comprising 36% of diagnoses (SEER Incidence Rate, 2000-2020), and a smaller percentage of patients are diagnosed at the early (localized) stage (U.S. Cancer Statistics Data Briefs, No. 25, 2021)
- In comparison to patients with early-stage colorectal cancer, those with advanced-stage disease reported lower physical SF-12 scores in two separate studies (both p<0.05) (Reyes et al. 2017; Belachew et al. 2020)

and 609,820 cancer-related deaths (Siegel et al. 2023)

- The World Health Organization recommends screening for asymptomatic cases to facilitate early diagnosis and improve treatment effectiveness (WHO Guide to cancer early diagnosis)
- O The influence of cancer stage on patient-reported outcomes is not well understood
- O There may be a connection between advanced cancer stages, increased symptom severity, and a lower Health-Related Quality of Life (HRQoL), which can be measured using Patient-Reported Outcome Measures (PROMs)

OBJECTIVE

• The objective of this study was to understand the symptom impact and HRQoL impact by disease stage for

SCL-17, SF-12 PCS, FACT-C

O Advanced cancer stages were associated with greater symptom burden (stage II vs III, p=0.001) and worse physical (I-IV, p<0.05) (Reyes et al. 2017; Belachew, Reyes et al. 2020) or functional HRQoL (II vs III, p=0.004) (Ganz et al. 2022)

HADS, SF-12 MCS

- O Prevalence of depression was significantly higher in patients with metastatic vs non-metastatic disease (p=0.015) (Varela-Moreno et al. 2022)
- O Advanced stages were associated with low mental wellness (I-IV, p<0.01) (Reyes et al. 2017) SF-36 Vitality
 - \odot No significant differences by cancer stage (II vs III) were observed for patient energy (p=0.179) (Ganz et al. 2022)

Symptoms (PROMIS)

O Patients with stage IV disease reported higher symptom scores for pain interference (56.5 vs 52.1) and fatigue (56.5 vs 50.8) compared with patients with stage I/ II disease (p not reported) (Jensen et al. 2017)

FACT-E, FACT-ECS

- O Advanced clinical tumor stages were associated with worse HRQoL (T1-T4, p<0.05) (Kidane et al. 2018)
- O Patients diagnosed with Stage II/III exhibited elevated scores for pain (3.2 vs. 2.8) and fatigue (3.2 vs. 2.6) Esophageal in contrast to patients with Stage IV disease (p-value not reported) (Doherty et al. 2018)

FACT-G

Colorectal

Pancreatic

O No significant findings were reported (Doherty et al. 2018)

SF-12 PCS, MDASI

O Advanced cancer stages were associated with worse physical function (I-IV, p<0.001) (Deng et al. 2018) and increased symptomology (I/II vs III/IV, p not reported) (Ambai et al. 2021). SF-12 MCS

• Cancer stage was not significantly associated with mental wellness (I-IV, p=0.16) (Deng et al. 2018)

HRQoL/ PRO instruments utilized in identified studies

 \bigcirc Ten studies were selected from a combined pool of research on colorectal (n= 6 studies), esophageal (n= 2 studies), and pancreatic cancer (n= 2 studies). Detailed descriptions of the Patient-Reported Outcome (PRO) instruments utilized in these studies are shown in Table 3

Table 3. PRO instruments utilized in identified studies

Table 1 DICOS criteria

- O Mental SF-12 scores were significantly worse in advanced-stage disease in one study (p<0.01) (Reyes et al. 2017), while the second study did not find a significant association in this regard (Belachew et al. 2020)
- Patients diagnosed with stage III disease experienced significantly more symptoms (SCL-17, p=0.001) and a worse HRQoL (FACT-C, p=0.004) when compared to those with stage II disease (Ganz et al. 2022)
- Assessment of symptoms using the PROMIS PRO measurement system showed that patients with stage IV disease had higher symptom scores for pain interference and fatigue compared with patients with stage I/II disease (score differences, 4.4 and 5.7, respectively) (Jensen et al. 2017)
- Additionally, depression was more prevalent among patients with metastasis compared to those without metastasis (p<0.015) (Varela-Moreno et al. 2022)

Esophageal cancer

- The predominant stage of esophageal cancer diagnosis occurs at the distant stage, representing 38% of all diagnoses (SEER Incidence Rate, 2000-2020)
- Considering a minimal clinically significant difference of 0.07 for EQ-5D utilities, patients diagnosed with stage IV esophageal cancer exhibited an inferior HRQoL in comparison to those with stage II/III disease (0.72±0.18) vs. 0.82±0.13, respectively) (Doherty et al. 2018)
- O Furthermore, HRQoL, when stratified by tumor stage (T-stage), demonstrated significantly worse scores at higher T-stages (FACT-ECS, 58.7±9.1 vs. 44.5±15.4, T1 vs. T4; p<0.01) (Kidane et al. 2018)

patients with colorectal, esophageal, or pancreatic cancers

CONCLUSIONS

- O Symptom impact varied across different GI cancer types, with advanced-stage cancer generally associated with worse HRQoL
- O Both physical and mental components of HRQoL appear to be negatively affected by disease stage, highlighting the impact of stage and spread of disease on symptom burden
- O Patients with advanced stage GI cancers reported greater symptom impact, particularly in general physical impairments such as pain and fatigue
- O These data highlight the importance of early cancer detection to attenuate symptoms and minimize the overall negative HRQoL impact of cancer diagnosis

nstrument	Details		
Generic PRO instru	uments		
European Quality of Life Five Dimension questionnaire (EQ-5D-3L)	 EQ-5D-3L consists of five dimensions (mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain/discomfort, and anxiety/ depression), each with three levels (e.g., no problems, some problems, and extreme problems) (Rabin et al. 2001) The combinations of answers may be reduced to a single health utility score ranging from 0 (poor health) to 1 (perfect health) 		
NSABP symptom checklist (SCL-17)	 Symptom burden questionnaire consisting of scores which are the average of 17 items scored on a 0 to 100 range with higher scores representing greater symptom burden (Kopec et al. 2007) 		
Short-form survey-12 (SF-12)	 ○ Generic quality of life questionnaires that measure physical, functional, emotional, and social wellbein (Ware et al. 1996) ○ Can be summarized into 2 indices: the Physical Component Summary (PCS) and the Mental Compone Summary (MCS), describing patient physical and mental well-being, respectively ○ Higher scores indicate better QoL, scores ≥50 suggest above average HRQoL compared to the genera population, while scores <50 suggest poor HRQoL 		
Functional assessment of cancer therapy- colorectal (FACT-C)	 FACT-C is a colorectal cancer module consisting of 36 items (Wendy et al. 1999) Total score ranges from 0-136 with higher scores representing greater quality of life FACT-C TOI (trial outcome index) is derived from physical wellbeing, functional wellbeing, and colorectal cancer subscale scores. Scores range from 0-84, with higher scores indicating better QoL 		
Functional assessment of cancer therapy- sophageal (FACT-E)	 FACT-E is a quality-of-life subscale of FACT-G, designed for patients with esophageal cancer (Darling et al. 2006) FACT-E is comprised of five subscales: physical well-being, social/family well-being, emotional well-being, functional well-being, and esophageal cancer subscale 		
Functional assessment of cancer therapy- esophageal cancer subscale (FACT-ECS)	○ FACT-ECS is a disease specific module/subscale (score range 0-68) (Doherty et al. 2018; Kidane, et al. 2018)		

• Assessment of symptoms using FACT-E-derived subscales revealed that patients diagnosed with Stage II/III disease exhibited higher symptom scores for pain interference and fatigue, with score differences of 0.4 and 0.6, respectively, when compared with patients with Stage IV disease (Doherty et al. 2018)

Pancreatic cancer

- The most common stage of diagnosis for pancreatic cancer is at the distant stage, constituting 51% of all diagnoses (SEER Incidence Rate, 2000-2020)
- For patients with stage III and IV pancreatic cancer, there was an increased risk of experiencing poorer SF-12 physical component scores when compared to those with stage I disease (1.80-fold and 2.32-fold increase, respectively; p-value for trend < 0.001). However, there was no significant association observed with mental component scores (Deng et al. 2018)
- O Measurement of symptoms (such as pain, disturbed sleep, numbness or tingling, and emotional distress) using the MDASI survey showed that patients with stage IV pancreatic cancer had greater symptom burden compared with patients with stage I/ II disease (mean MDASI score, 51.8 vs 47.3, p value not reported) (Ambai et al. 2021)

METHODS

Table 1. PICOS criteria		Table of Acronyms			
Element	Focus	Acronym	Definition	References	
Patients	 Patients with staged cancer (e.g., AJCC): Colon/ rectum Esophagus Pancreas Where a limited number of publications were identified that included information on staging, other studies were considered 	EORTC QLQ-C30	European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Core Quality of Life Questionnaire	 Ambai, V. T., V. Singh, et al. Belachew, A. A., M. E. Reye Darling, G., D. T. Eton, J. et Deng, Y., H. Tu, et al. Eur J Doherty, M. K., Y. Leung, et Ganz, P. A., R. D. Hays, et a Incidence and Relative Succdc.gov/cancer/uscs/aboutintm] Jensen, R. E., A. L. Potosky Kidane, B., A. Ali, et al. And Kopec, J. A., G. Yothers, et Reyes, M. E., Y. Ye, et al. Q Ruiz-Casado, A., F. F. Fran SEER Explorer: An interact Siegel, R. L., K. D. Miller, et Varela-Moreno, E., F. Riva Wendy, L. W., A. H. Elizab 	
		EQ-5D-3L	European Quality of Life Five Dimension Questionnaire		
Intervention/		FACT-C	Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy- Colorectal		
comparator	 Any Severity and impact of cancer-related symptoms (e.g., 	FACT-E	Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy- Esophageal		
Outcomes	pain, fatigue) by cancer type and stage, as assessed by standardized/ validated instruments (e.g., MDASI, EORTC- QLQ C30)	FACT-ECS	Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy- Esophageal Cancer Subscale		
	O HRQoL/ PROs	FACT-G	Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy-General		
Study types	O Any	HADS	Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale		
	O Literature published in the past five years. If less than 10	MDASI	MD Anderson Symptom Inventory		
Timeframe	eframe studies were identified, time limit was expanded to ten years		Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System	19. World Health Organizat	
Geographic sco and language	 Pe O United States (US) and European studies O English language abstracts 	SCL-17	National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project Symptom Checklist	KCC is an employee of GRAIL, Gilead, Baxter, and Bayer. AB employees of GRG. DLP prov Acknowledgement Funded by GRAIL, LLC, a subs graphic assistance provided Copies of this poster obtaine use only and may not be repr	
Databases to search	 PubMed via EVID PRO Hand searches American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) European Society for Medical Oncology (ESMO) Digestive Disease Week (DDW); GI cancers Other conferences as appropriate for each oncology indication 	SF-12 MCS	Item Short Form Survey Mental Component Summary		
		SF-12 PCS	Item Short Form Survey Physical Component Summary		
		SF-12	Short-Form Survey-12		
		SF-36	Short Form-36		

Table of Acronyme

- Literature searches were performed to identify studies reporting HRQoL and PROM outcomes stratified by cancer stage in colorectal, esophageal, and pancreatic cancer
- The primary search was performed using EVID PRO, an AI-assisted platform, which utilized disease-specific terms for each cancer type to identify journal articles published from January 2017 to December 2022. When fewer than 10 articles were identified in this timeframe, the search was extended to 10 years (from January 2012 to December 2022)
- The EVID PRO tool automatically identified articles containing specific acronyms, scales, and Patient-Reported Outcome (PRO) instruments
- O Abstracts from key conferences were searched from January 2020 to December 2022
- The PICOS (Population, Intervention, Comparator, Outcome) criteria are shown in Table 1

al. Pain Rep.2021; 6(1):e930. eyes, et al. Qual Life Res.2020; 29(11):2977-2986. et al. Cancer. 2006; 107(4): 854-863. J Cancer.2018; 92:20-32. J, et al. Dis Esophagus.2018; 31(12). al. Cancer.2022; 128(17):3233-3242. Survival by Stage at Diagnosis for Common Cancers. [https://www. bout/data-briefs/no25-incidence-relative-survival-stage-diagnosis. ky, et al. J Clin Oncol.2017; 35(17):1913-1920. nn Transl Med.2018; 6(13):270. et al. J Clin Oncol.2007; 25(4):424-430. rro Ann Med.2001; 33(5):337-343. Qual Life Res.2017; 26(2):319-330. anco, et al. Annals of Oncology.2022; 33. active website for SEER cancer statistics. ; et al. CA Cancer J Clin.2023; 73(1):17-48. vas-Ruiz, et al. Psychooncology.2022; 31(10):1762-1773. et al. Medical Care. 1996; 34(3): 220-233. abeth, et al. Quality of Life Research.1999; 8(3):181-195. ation (WHO): Guide to cancer early diagnosis. Geneva: WHO; 2017.

IL, LLC with stock ownership in Illumina, Bristol Myers Squibb, AB and GG are current employees of GRG, AM and MW are former ovides consulting to Grail, LLC.

nts

ubsidiary of Illumina, Inc. Writing, editorial, and ed by Genesis Research Group (Hoboken, NJ). ned through QR and/or text key codes are for personal produced without written permission of the authors.

